

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Lic

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,237	01/25/2002	Richard Wisniewski	2035749	8952
7590 02/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Brett M. Hutton, Esq.			FORD, JOHN K	
Heslin Rothenberg Farley & Mesiti P.C. 5 Columbia Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Albany, NY 12203			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	' '7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/056,237

Art Unit: 3743

The reply filed on July 14, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the second declaration of Mr.

Wisniewski refers to the wrong serial number ("08/895,936") for this application and in paragraph 6 refers to an office action in that other application, not to the office action mailed to applicant on April 10, 2003 in this application. The second declaration also refers to another (first) declaration in paragraph 8 which is not of record here, and cannot be properly considered without it. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the abovementioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

To proceed with an orderly examination, and appeal, should applicant file one this time around, this evidence (i.e. a proper second declaration referring to the correct office action and a <u>first</u> declaration) must be made of record at this juncture.

It is also believed that the second declaration may be superceded by a third declaration which applicant and/or counsel may be preparing at present in an attempt to Mr. Wwor explain why no inquiry was made of Mr. Leonard or of knowledgeable persons at Genentech as to the dimensions of the prior art Genentech device disclosed in the 1992 Wisniewski and Wu article without any of the relevant dimensions (e.g. the diameter of the tank and the sizes of the fins and the fin to tank wall spacing). If this is the case,

counsel may expedite matters by additionally filing any such superceding or clarifying materials here in addition to the first and second declarations required above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at

telephone number 703-308-2636.

/John K. Pord Primery Exeminer